

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/551,051	Applicant(s) BASAK ET AL.	
	Examiner Callie E. Shosho	Art Unit 1714	

All Participants:

(1) Callie E. Shosho.

(2) Richard Beck.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2004

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 14-18

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Beck in order to more clearly define the claims. It is noted that support for the amendment to claim 17 is found on page 11, lines 14-15 of the present specification.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. Part II above.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)